



letter accompanying the CRT decision. The CRT letter will also set forth the mechanism for submitting such appeals, if any, to the Court.

As provided under the CRT Rules, any appeal must be based upon a plausible suggestion of error regarding the CRT's conclusions. Any appeal which is submitted without a plausible suggestion of error shall be summarily denied.

Article 30 of the Rules also generally provides claimants with the right to request reconsideration of decisions based upon new documentary evidence not previously presented to the CRT that, if considered, would have led to a different outcome of the claim. The CRT has advised me, however, that in the few remaining cases, claimants and/or their counsel have supplemented their original claim submissions, often repeatedly, and often at the request of the CRT. Because claimants and/or their counsel in these cases have had the opportunity to supplement their claims, and in the interest of resolving the few remaining cases and thus the CRT process in the most expeditious manner, I direct that claimants no longer have the right to request reconsideration based upon additional documents not previously submitted to the CRT.

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that for purposes of the few CRT cases remaining to be processed as of the date of this order, Article 30 of the CRT Rules is amended to provide that (1) any appeals shall be submitted directly to the Court for review; (2) such appeals, if any, shall be submitted within thirty (30) days of the date of the letter accompanying the CRT decision; and (3) given the circumstances relating to these few remaining cases, requests for reconsideration are unnecessary and will not be considered. All other provisions of Article 30 shall remain in effect.

**SO ORDERED.**

Brooklyn, New York  
July 27, 2011

Edward R. Korman  
Edward R. Korman  
Senior United States District Judge